


Relativity and Geometry



Plane geometry in (flat) spacetime

How to construct Minkowski Diagrams (1908)
directly from Einstein's postulates (1905).

Light rectangles

Einstein's Two Postulates (*Voraussetzungen*) (1905)

1. In electrodynamics, as well as in mechanics, no properties of phenomena correspond to the concept of absolute rest.

... dem Begriffe der absoluten Ruhe nicht nur in der Mechanik, sondern auch in der Elektrodynamik keine Eigenschaften der Erscheinungen entsprechen. . . .

2. Light always propagates in empty space with a definite velocity c , independent of the state of motion of the emitting body.

... sich das Licht im leeren Raume stets mit einer bestimmten, von Bewegungszustande des emittierenden Körpers unabhängigen Geschwindigkeit V fortpflanze.

Einstein's Third Postulate (1905)

3. If a clock at A runs synchronously with clocks at both B and C , then the clocks at B and C also run synchronously relative to each other.

Wenn die Uhr in A sowohl mit der Uhr in B als auch mit der Uhr in C synchron läuft, so laufen auch die Uhren in B und C synchron relativ zueinander.

3'. If event A is simultaneous with event B and event C , then events B and C are also simultaneous.


3''. If an event A happens in the same place as event B and event C , then the events B and C also happen in the same place.

An event:

Something happening at definite place and time;
Represented by a point in spacetime.

Alice's geometric description of events:

Alice makes a plane diagram depicting events
at various times and places in one spatial dimension
(e.g. along a long straight railroad track).




Alice organizes events in her diagram by time:

Simultaneous events placed on single straight line

● = an event

Equitemps

(lines of constant time)




Distance between equitemps proportional to time between events

Equitemps must be parallel.

Alice slides events along equitemps
to further organize them by location:

Events in same place lie on same straight line



Equilocs must be parallel.

Can't be parallel to equitemps, but
otherwise orientation is arbitrary.

Alice redefines the foot:

1 conventional foot (ft) = 0.3048 m.


1 foot (f) = 0.299792458 m.

1 f/ns = 299,792,458 m/s = c , speed of light.
(ns = nanosecond = 10^{-9} sec)

Alice relates spatial and temporal scales:

Equilocs representing events 1 f apart
are same distance λ apart in diagram as
equitemps representing events 1 ns apart.


Some of Alice's equitemps and equilocs
and her *scale factor* λ



Conventional orientation:

- Equilocs more vertical than horizontal;
- Equitemps more horizontal than vertical;
- Both symmetrically disposed about 45° lines.
- Time increases with height on page

Alternative *scale factor* μ




Equilocs and equitemps are characterized by two independent parameters:
any two of λ , μ , Θ

Note: Area of unit rhombus = $\lambda\mu = \mu^2 \sin \Theta$.

Photon trajectory:

All events in the history of something moving at $1f/ns$




Photon trajectories *bisect* angle $\Theta = 2\theta$
between equilocs and equitemps

(Equilocs and equitemps *symmetrically disposed*
about photon trajectories)

Trajectories of oppositely moving photons
are *perpendicular*.

Photon trajectory:

All events in the history of something moving at $1f/ns$




Photon trajectories *bisect* angle $\Theta = 2\theta$
between equilocs and equitemps

(Equilocs and equitemps *symmetrically disposed*
about photon trajectories)

Trajectories of oppositely moving photons
are *perpendicular*.


Bob's description of the same events

Bob moves uniformly with respect to Alice.
He uses Alice's diagram to depict events, but tries to impose on it *his own* equilocs and equitemps.




Determining Bob's *equitemps* in Alice's diagram:

Einstein's Train




Determining Bob's *equitemps* in Alice's diagram:

Einstein's Train



Bob's equitemps and equilocs are straight lines that make same angle $\theta_B = \frac{1}{2}\Theta_B$ with photon trajectories.



Alice's equitemps and equilocs are straight lines that make same angle $\theta_A = \frac{1}{2}\Theta_A$ with photon trajectories.

Cannot tell who made the diagram first and who later added their own equitemps and equilocs.


Einstein (1905):

The second principle is
only apparently incompatible
with the first.

nur scheinbar unverträgliche

It remains only to determine the relation between Alice's scale factors λ_A, μ_A and Bob's, λ_B, μ_B

Relativity of simultaneity (quantitative)




Bob: **P, R** at same place

P, Q at same time


Alice: $D_{PR} = v_{BA} T_{PR}$
 $(\mu_A g) \quad (\mu_A h)$

$T_{PQ} = v_{BA} D_{PQ}$
 $(\mu_A g) \quad (\mu_A h)$


Relations between events




Two events determine a *light rectangle*.



Alice's *unit light rectangle*




Area Ω_0 of Alice's unit light rectangle



$$\Omega_0 = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_A \mu_A$$

*Scaling of areas of light rectangles
associated with events on an Alice equiloc*




*Relation between Alice's and Bob's scale factors
determined by reciprocity of the Doppler effect:*

When Alice, Bob, and their clocks are all together they both set their clocks to 0.

Later, when Alice's clock reads T she looks at Bob's. She sees Bob's clock reading t .

When Bob's clock reads same T he looks at Alice's. He must see Alice's clock reading same t .

Reciprocity of Doppler Effect




$$B/A = T/t$$


\Rightarrow

$$b/a = t/T$$

$$bB = aA$$



Alice's and Bob's light rectangles have same area.



$T = 1 \implies$ unit light rectangles have same area


$$\Omega_0 = \frac{1}{2} \mu \lambda$$

Product $\mu \lambda$ of scale factors is the same for everyone:

$$\mu_A \lambda_A = \mu_B \lambda_B = \mu_C \lambda_C = \dots$$


Meaning of area Ω of light rectangle

for *any* pair of time-like separated events:



Ω/Ω_0 is square of time between events in frame in which events at same place.

Meaning of area Ω of light rectangle for any pair of events:




Timelike separated: Ω/Ω_0 is square of time between events in frame in which events at same place.

Spacelike separated: Ω/Ω_0 is square of distance between events in frame in which events at same time.

Ω/Ω_0 is squared interval I^2


What about $I^2 = |T^2 - D^2|$?


Interval I between events P and Q in terms of Alice's time T and distance D between them:



What about $I^2 = |T^2 - D^2|$?

Interval I between events P and Q in terms of Alice's time T and distance D between them:





$$T^2 = I^2 + D^2$$

Application (in 3+1 dimensions)

*How to measure the interval between P and Q using only light signals and a single clock:**

Alice moves uniformly with her clock;

Alice and her clock are both present at P .

Bob is present at Q .


When P happens Alice's clock reads T_0 .

When Q happens, Bob *sees* Alice's clock reading T_1 .

When Alice *sees* Q happen, her clock reads T_2 .

$$I_{PQ}^2 = |(T_1 - T_0)(T_2 - T_0)|$$

*Robert F. Marzke, 1959 [Princeton](#) senior thesis.




$$I_{PQ}^2 = |(T_1 - T_0)(T_2 - T_0)|$$

P and Q spacelike separated

$$\Omega_{P,Q} = f\Omega_{T_2,T_0}$$

$$\Omega_{P,Q} = \Omega_{T_1,T_0}/f$$




$$\Omega_{P,Q}^2 = \Omega_{T_2,T_0} \Omega_{T_1,T_0}$$

$$\implies I_{P,Q}^2 = (T_2 - T_0)(T_0 - T_1)$$

P and Q timelike separated

$$\Omega_{P,Q} = f\Omega_{T_2,T_0}$$

$$\Omega_{P,Q} = \Omega_{T_1,T_0}/f$$




$$\Omega_{P,Q}^2 = \Omega_{T_2,T_0} \Omega_{T_1,T_0}$$


$$\Rightarrow I_{P,Q}^2 = (T_2 - T_0)(T_1 - T_0)$$

Stacking plane diagrams in orthogonal direction.

Isotropy: When Alice adds *second spatial dimension* perpendicular to plane, photon trajectories through a point should expand to right circular cone.



Determination of perpendicular scale factor σ



Further reading:

N. David Mermin,

Spacetime Intervals as Light Rectangles,
Am. J. Phys. **66**, 1077-1080 (1998).

From Einstein's Postulates to Spacetime Geometry,
Annalen der Physik **14**, 103-114 (2005).

It's About Time, Princeton, 2005.

Dieter Brill and Ted Jacobson,

Spacetime and Euclidean Geometry,
<http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0407022>

Dierck-Ekkehard Liebscher,

<http://www.aip.de/~lie/>
The Geometry of Time, Wiley-VCH (2005)

For a link to this colloquium google: [mermin homepage](#).